THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

W.P.No.23474/2000

Dt.18-7-2007

D.Narasimha Rao

..Petitioner

V.

1. The Regional Transport Officer, Nandyal, Kurnool District.

..Respondent.

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

W.P.No.23474/2000

-

ORAL ORDER:

-
-

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by the similar impugned proceedings, applications of the present petitioner and one T.Sekhar for renewing the driving licences were rejected and their driving licences were cancelled with immediate effect. He further fairly submits that WP No.23480/2000 filed by Sri T.Sekhar has been dismissed by this court by order dt. 4-7-2007and the present writ petition is also liable to be dismissed.

The operative portion of the order dt. 4-7-2007 passed in WP No.23480/2000 reads thus:

> " In the result, the writ petition is dismissed. Interim order dt. 1-12-2000 passed in WPMP No.29991/2000 is vacated and the miscellaneous petition is dismissed. The respondents are directed to forthwith seize the driving licence of the petitioner and ensure that he does not drive heavy motor vehicles. Liberty is also given to the authorities of the Transport Department to take other punitive measures against the petitioner for having obtained licence by manipulations and fraud.
>
> The petitioner shall pay costs of Rs.10000/-. This amount shall be deposited by him with Andhra Pradesh State Legal Services Authority within a period of one month from today.
>
> Principal Secretary, Transport, Government of Andhra Pradesh should initiate a probe into the grant and renewal of licences in various districts of the State to find out whether licences were issued by different authorities without requiring the applicants to undergo the prescribed tests. The angle of corruption should also be probed because without fiscal quid pro quo, the prescribed authorities would not have manipulated grant and renewal of licence without requiring the applicant to undergo the prescribe test. The report prepared on the basis of the probe undertaken pursuant to his order should be produced before the Court at the end of three months period."

Following the same and for the reasons mentioned therein, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

A.GOPAL REDDY,J

Dt.18-7-2007
kmr